

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.tapto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/537,995	03/29/2000	Shreedhar Madhavapeddi	2320	6754	
759	90 06/18/2003				
Albert S Michalik		· EXAMINER			
Michalik & Wylie PLLC 14645 Bel-Red Road			TRAN, THIEN D		
Suite 103 Bellevue, WA 98007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	2665		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/537,99		MADHAVAPEDDI ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	_			
	•	Thien D To	20	2665				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a				_			
Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statu od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONEL	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 March 2000						
2a)□	<u> </u>	This action is i						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
•	4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.							
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election re	quirement.					
	ion Papers The appeliantion is objected to but he Evemin	nor						
·	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		phiostod to by the Evan	niner				
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11) 🗌 🖰	The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	•	•						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/537,995 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Claim 39 is missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Regarding claims 29-38, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Data structure's fields alone can't describe the invention in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2665

and

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being participated by Ravikanth (U.S Patent 6,327,274).

Regarding claims 1, 40, Ravikanth discloses a method for obtaining information for packets transmitted over a network, comprising:

transmitting a plurality of packets from a sender to a receiver, including at least one selected packet;

associating a sender-relative timestamp with each selected packet transmitted; receiving at least some of the plurality of packets (col.4 lines 1-10); associating a receiver relative timestamp with each selected packet received;

associating a receiver relative timestamp with each science packet received

associating a latency based on the sender-relative timestamp and the receiver-relative timestamp associated with each selected packet received. See col.2 lines 5-60

Regarding claims 2, 20, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein associating the sender-relative timestamp includes placing a local timestamp of the sender into each selected packet. See col.3 lines 60-65.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 3, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein associating the receiver-relative timestamp includes placing a local timestamp of the receiver into each selected packet. See col.6 lines 25-45.

Regarding claims 4, 41, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein associating the sender-relative timestamp includes placing a local timestamp of the sender into each selected packet, and associating the receiver-relative timestamp includes placing a local timestamp of the receiver into each selected packet. See col.5 lines 45-60.

Regarding claim 5, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising uniquely identifying each selected packet. See col.4 lines 15-30.

Regarding claims 6, 31, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein uniquely identifying each selected packet includes writing a sequence number. See col.6 lines 50-60.

Regarding claims 7, 22, 32, 33, 42 Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising normalizing the latency associated with each selected packet. See col.5 lines 15-35.

Regarding claims 8, 21, 23, 34, 38, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein at least two selected packets are received, and wherein normalizing the latency includes selecting the lowest latency from each of the latencies associated with each selected packet. See col.5 lines 30-50.

Regarding claims 9, 25, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein normalizing the latency includes detecting at least one timer jump and adjusting information maintained for each selected packet to compensate therefor. See col.6 lines 35-55.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 10, 24, 26-28, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein normalizing the latency includes, detecting clock skew, and adjusting information maintained for each selected packet to compensate for the clock skew. See col.4 lines 20-40.

Regarding claim 11, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein a plurality of selected packets is received, and wherein detecting clock skew includes logically finding a slope based on information maintained with the selected packets. See col.4 lines 40-65.

Regarding 12, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising, normalizing the sender-relative timestamp, associated with each selected packet. See col.3 lines 30-65.

Regarding claim 13, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising, normalizing the receiver-relative timestamp associated with each selected packet. See col.4 lines 1-10.

Regarding claim 14, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein the network is a controlled network, and further comprising running a calibration phase during transmission of at least some of the transmitted packets. See col.6 lines 55-65.

Regarding claim 15, Ravikanth discloses method further comprising, generating noise by transmitting other packets on the network. See col.5 lines 60-63.

Regarding claim 16, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising, enabling network quality of service. See figure 2.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 17, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising, detecting dropped packets.

Regarding claim 18, Ravikanth discloses a computer-readable medium having computer executable instructions for performing. See figure 1.

Regarding claim 19, Ravikanth discloses a system for obtaining information transmitted over a network, comprising:

a network sender system, including:

a sender process configured to cause transmission of a plurality of selected packets on the network (col.4 lines 1-34); and

a sender component configured to associate a sender timestamp of the sender with each selected packet (col.5 lines 5-20);

and,

a network receiver system configured to receive each selected packet transmitted on the network, the receiver system including:

a receiver component configured to associate a receiver timestamp with each selected packet received (col.6 lines 20-40); and

a receiver process, the receiver process maintaining information corresponding to the sender timestamp and receiver timestamp, in association with each selected packet. See figure 1.

Regarding claims 29,30, 36, 37, Ravikanth discloses a computer-readable medium having stored thereon a data structure, comprising:

a first field comprising data representative of a packet send time;

Art Unit: 2665

a second field comprising data representative of a packet receive time; and a third field comprising data representative of a packet latency time. See col.2 lines 25-50.

Regarding claim 35, Ravikanth discloses a computer-readable medium having stored thereon a data structure, comprising:

a first field comprising data representative of a packet sequence number;

a second field comprising data representative of a packet send time; and

a third-field comprising data representative of a packet receive time. See col.4

lines 15-55.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Meizlik et al (US Patent No. 6,112,323) discloses method and computer program product for efficiently and reliably sending small data messages from a sending system to a large number of receiving systems.

-Ofek (US Patent No. 6,377,579 B1) discloses interconnecting a synchronous switching network that utilizes a common time reference with an asynchronous switching network.

-Mckee et al (US Patent No. 5,477,531) discloses method and apparatus for testing a packet-based network.

Art Unit: 2665

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Thien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4388. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (703) 308-6602. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thien Tran

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alfan n. roa

Page 8